

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/177,902		HOLMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ivars C. Cintins		1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ivars C. Cintins.

(2) Mr. Douglas E. McKinley, Jr..

**Date of Interview:** 13 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. McKinley authorized the new abstract contained in the examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_


(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)